

SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

Lexington/Richland 5 School District

Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 16,562 Superintendent: Stephen W. Hefner, Ed. D.

Board Chair: Robert Gantt

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		AYP STATUS	NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS
			General Performance	Closing the Gap		
2011	Excellent	Good	N/A	N/A	Not Met	N/A
2010	Excellent	Excellent	N/A	N/A	Not Met	N/A
2009	Average	At-Risk	N/A	N/A	Not Met	NI-HOLD

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
2	0	0	0	0

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/09/2011. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

PASS					
PASS	2011 Reading	2011 Math	2011 Science	2011 Social Studies	2011 Writing
	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above
District	84.2%	85.4%	81.2%	85.4%	83.2%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	84.0%	85.1%	81.9%	85.3%	83.3%
Average District	74.3%	73.6%	69.0%	73.0%	73.1%

PASS	2011 Reading	2011 Math	2011 Science	2011 Social Studies	2011 Writing	
	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	
District	56.3%	54.3%	35.8%	51.4%	45.5%	
Districts with Students Like Ours**	55.3%	53.3%	36.8%	50.8%	45.8%	
Average District	40.4%	35.5%	23.3%	33.3%	33.7%	

HSAP			
HSAP: 2nd Year Students	Passed 2 Subtests (%)	Passed 1 Subtest (%)	Passed No Subtests (%)
District	89.0%	6.5%	4.6%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	88.7%	6.8%	4.5%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2011	(%)
District	96.8%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	96.2%

End of Course Test Passage Rate (%)			
istrict	79.1%		
istricts with Students Like Ours**	79.8%		
	7 5.1.70		

On-Time Graduation Rate (%)	
District	87.0%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	82.2%

^{**} Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

NAEP*

* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

T crocmages at 1	/ (L/ /	ioi iio voi iio		201010.				
READING - GR	ADE 4	(2011)		ı				
South Carolina		39		33	22		6	
Nation		34		34	2	5	7	
READING - GR	ADE 8	(2011)						
South Carolina	[28		45		2	5	2
Nation		25		43		29)	3
	%	Below Bas	sic	% Basic, Proficie	nt, an	d Adva	nced	
Below Basic	Basic	☐ Proficie	nt	Advanced				
MATH – GRADE	4 (20	11)	ı					
South Carolina		21		43		31		5

MATH – GRADE 4	(2011)	I		
South Carolina	21	43	31	5
Nation	18	42	33	6
MATH – GRADE 8	(2011)			
South Carolina	30	38	25	7
Nation	28	39	26	8
9	% Below Basic	% Basic, Proficient,	, and Advanc	ed
■ Below Basic □ B	Basic Proficie	ent Advanced		

SCIENCE – GRA	DE 4 (2009)	ı	
South Carolina	28	38	33
Nation	29	39	32
SCIENCE – GRA	DE 8 (2009)		
South Carolina	45	32	22 1
Nation	38	33	28 1
	% Below Basic	% Basic, Proficie	ent, and Advanced
Below Basic	Basic Proficient	Advanced	

SC PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

Lexington/Richland 5 School District **DISTRICT PROFILE**

	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Median District
Students (n=16,562)				
Retention rate	1.2%	Up from 1.1%	1.4%	2.3%
Attendance rate	97.1%	Down from 98.3%	96.8%	95.8%
Served by gifted and talented program	32.8%	Down from 33.9%	29.8%	14.0%
With disabilities other than speech	5.7%	Down from 8.4%	5.0%	7.4%
Older than usual for grade	1.5%	Down from 2.0%	1.6%	3.0%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	1.0%	Down from 1.1%	1.1%	0.5%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	50.2%	Up from 36.7%	30.4%	12.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	64.0%	Down from 65.3%	61.6%	52.9%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	52.7%	Down from 55.1%	39.3%	30.3%
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	170	Up from 167	108	66
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	99	Up from 75	72	35
Annual dropout rate	1.5%	Down from 1.7%	2.9%	2.8%
Teachers (n=1,194)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	70.7%	Up from 69.6%	65.1%	61.2%
Continuing contract teachers	91.6%	Up from 89.9%	88.6%	85.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	89.3%	No Change	90.5%	90.3%
Teacher attendance rate	95.1%	Up from 94.7%	95.4%	95.2%
Average teacher salary*	\$51,275	Down 0.4%	\$50,248	\$46,166
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	0.3%	Up from 0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	5.3%	Up from 2.4%	2.7%	2.0%
Professional development days/teacher	11.5 days	No Change	11.5 days	12.2 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district	1.0	Down from 2.0	2.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.4 to 1	Up from 20.6 to 1	21.9 to 1	21.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.2%	Down from 92.1%	90.9%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$10,371	Down 0.7%	\$10,100	\$9,140
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	56.0%	Up from 55.5%	55.9%	53.5%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	57.5%	Up from 56.9%	57.9%	56.5%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Number of schools	19	No Change	14	9
Portable classrooms	6.1%	Down from 7.6%	3.1%	1.4%
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	19.0	No Change	12.0	8.0
Parents attending conferences	98.6%	Down from 99.7%	98.8%	96.6%
Average administrator salary	\$93,987	Down 0.1%	\$88,279	\$78,000
Number of charter schools	0	No Change	0	0
% of AYP objectives met	94.6%		94.3%	93.1%
Length of contract = 185+ days.				

^{*} Length of contract = 185+ days.

RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES STUDENT PERFORMANCE

	PASS	HSAP	End-of-Course Tests
Passage Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A

CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

School Name	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
There are no charter schools in this district.			

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

The 2010-11 school year was another fantastic one for School District Five of Lexington and Richland Counties. Our district received accreditation by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. Only a few school districts in the nation enjoy this distinction. We are proud of the work of our students, staff and parents, whose collaborative efforts made this recognition possible.

Unquestionably, School District Five of Lexington and Richland Counties is one of the finest school districts in the nation. We were one of only six school districts in the state to receive Excellent on both Absolute and Growth ratings on report cards issued by the South Carolina Department of Education. Eleven schools also received double Excellent ratings. Our SAT and ACT scores not only improved, but soared above state and national averages. The Classes of 2011 earned a combined total of more than \$58 million in scholarship offers. Fourteen schools were recognized as Palmetto Gold or Silver award winners or for Closing the Achievement Gap.

Ballentine Elementary was recognized as a National Blue Ribbon School, Irmo Elementary was named an Exemplary Writing School, and our Mary Meech Mungo District Teacher of the Year Craig Andrysczyk was named a State Honor Roll Teacher. The Irmo High Boys Basketball Team won the 4A state championship, the Chapin High Boys Tennis Team won the 3A state championship and the Chapin High Cheer Team also won the 3A state championship. A Dutch Fork Middle student won firstplace in the 25 meter manual wheelchair race in the South Carolina Special Olympics, a team of DECA students from Dutch Fork High won the international marketing competition and our career and technical education students brought home several awards from the national SkillsUSA competition.

In June, the district broke ground for a new Career and Technical Education Center and a new high school. Both of these facilities were approved in the 2008 bond referendum and are desperately needed in our school district. As part of the bond referendum, renovation and expansion projects were completed at Seven Oaks Elementary, Leaphart Elementary and Chapin Elementary. Irmo Elementary is receiving a complete overhaul and renovation and expansions are also planned for Chapin High, Irmo High and Dutch Fork High.

Thank you for your warm welcome to School District Five of Lexington and Richland Counties. I pledge that we will continue to look for new and innovative ways to keep our students engaged and continue to strive for a world-class educational experience for every child.

Stephen W. Hefner, Ed. D. Superintendent

^{**} Prior year audited financial data available